The Honorable James L. Robart 1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 8 9 MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a Washington corporation, CASE NO. C10-1823-JLR 10 Plaintiff, ORDER DIRECTING ENTRY OF 11 FINAL JUDGMENT ON FINAL CLAIMS PURSUANT TO RULE 54(b) 12 v. [PROPOSED] 13 MOTOROLA, INC., and MOTOROLA NOTED ON MOTION CALENDAR: MOBILITY LLC, and GENERAL Friday, October 18, 2013 14 INSTRUMENT CORPORATION, 15 Defendants. 16 17 MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC, and GENERAL INSTRUMENT CORPORATION, 18 Plaintiffs/Counterclaim Defendant, 19 20 v. 21 MICROSOFT CORPORATION, 22 Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiff. 23 24 25 26

ORDER DIRECTING ENTRY OF FINAL JUDGMENT ON FINAL CLAIMS PURSUANT TO RULE 54(b) CASE NO. C10-1823-JLR

Pursuant to Rule 54(b), Plaintiff Microsoft Corporation ("Microsoft") has filed a motion for entry of final judgment. Having reviewed the pleadings and evidence presented and on file in this case, and the Court being fully advised as to the issues presented, Microsoft's motion for entry of final judgment is GRANTED, with modifications. The Court finds that it has finally adjudicated Microsoft's four asserted claims and Motorola's two counterclaims in the breach of contract case, as well as Motorola's two RAND-based counterclaims in the original '699 case and Microsoft's four RAND-based counterclaims in the original '699 case. The following claims are final:

- Microsoft's claims for breach of contract, promissory estoppel, and waiver, and Microsoft's claim for declaratory judgment. Dkt. 53.
- Motorola's counterclaims for declaratory judgment that Motorola has not breached any
 of its RAND obligations and for declaratory judgment that Microsoft has repudiated
 and/or rejected the benefits of Motorola's RAND statements and that Motorola is
 entitled to seek an injunction against Microsoft on the Motorola patents at issue.
 Dkt. 192.
- Microsoft's counterclaim for breach of contract, promissory estoppel, and waiver, and Microsoft's claim for declaratory judgment. Wis. Dkt. 37.
- Motorola's counterclaims for declaratory judgment that Motorola has not breached any
 of its RAND obligations and for declaratory judgment that Microsoft has repudiated
 and/or rejected the benefits of Motorola's RAND statements and that Motorola is
 entitled to seek an injunction against Microsoft on the Motorola patents at issue.
 Dkt. 67.

There is no just reason for delay with respect to the appeal of these claims. The entry of partial final judgment would promote judicial efficiency and economy.

1	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
2	DATED this day of	, 2013.
3		
4		THE HONORABLE JAMES L. ROBART
5		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
	Presented by:	
6	SUMMIT LAW GROUP PLLC	
7	By /s/ Ralph H. Palumbo	
	By /s/ Philip S. McCune	
8	Ralph H. Palumbo, WSBA #04751	
9	Philip S. McCune, WSBA #21081	
<i>,</i>	ralphp@summitlaw.com	
10	philm@summitlaw.com	
	By <u>/s/ Thomas V. Miller</u>	
11	K. McNeill Taylor, Jr.	
12	MOTOROLA MOBILITY, INC.	
12	600 North U.S. Highway 45	
13	Libertyville, IL 60048-1286	
	(847) 523-2162	
14	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVA	N, LLP
15	By /s/ Kathleen M. Sullivan	
	Kathleen M. Sullivan, NY #1804624	
16	51 Madison Ave., 22 nd Floor	
	New York, NY 10010	
17	(212) 849-7000	
18	kathleensullivan@quinnemanuel.com	
10	By /s/ Brian C. Cannon	
19	Brian C. Cannon, CA #193071	
	555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5 th Floor	
20	Redwood Shores, CA 94065	
21	(650) 801-5000	
41	briancannon@quinnemanuel.com	
22	By /s/ William C. Price	
	William C. Price, CA #108542	
23	865 S. Figueroa Street, 10 th Floor	
24	Los Angeles, CA 90017	
- 4+	(213) 443-3000	
25	williamprice@quinnemanuel.com	
	Attorneys for Defendants Motorola Solutions, In	nc.,
26	Motorola Mobility LLC and General Instrument	t Corporation

ORDER DIRECTING ENTRY OF FINAL JUDGMENT ON FINAL CLAIMS PURSUANT TO RULE 54(b) - 2 CASE NO. C10-1823-JLR

SUMMIT LAW GROUP PLLC

315 FIFTH AVENUE SOUTH, SUITE 1000 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-2682 Telephone: (206) 676-7000 Fax: (206) 676-7001